1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP,) INC., et al., 11 No. C06-2047 SBA (BZ) Plaintiffs, 12 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR v. 13 DEFAULT JUDGMENT JUNISE KEYS, 14 Defendant. 15 16

By Order dated November 1, 2006, the Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong referred to me plaintiffs' motion for entry of default judgment against defendant. The following is my report and recommendation for entry of default judgment.

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

On March 17, 2006, plaintiffs filed a complaint under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq. The complaint alleges that defendant violated the Copyright Act at least twenty-one separate times by downloading and/or distributing twenty-one recordings without the permission or consent of the plaintiffs. Compl. ¶¶ 18, 16. Plaintiffs allege that proper notices of copyright for all twenty-one recordings have been widely published, and that defendant's

```
actions violate plaintiffs' exclusive rights of reproduction
1
 2
    and distribution. Id. at \P\P 19, 18. Plaintiffs plead
 3
    defendant's actions as willful and intentional, id. at ¶ 20,
    and seek statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. section 5040),
 4
 5
    injunctive relief pursuant to sections 502 and 503, and
 6
    reasonable costs pursuant to section 505. See id. at ¶¶ 21,
7
         Specifically, plaintiffs seek the minimum amount of
    statutory damages for each of the twenty-one violations
8
9
    totaling $15,750.00, costs of litigation totaling $320.00, and
10
    an injunction prohibiting defendant from present and future
11
    infringement. See id. at ¶ 22; Motion for Entry of Default
12
    Judgment, at 2.
13
         On May 29, 2006, plaintiffs had the complaint and related
    papers personally served on defendant. Defendant failed to
14
    answer the complaint or otherwise defend the action. On July
15
16
    13, 2006, upon plaintiff's request, the Clerk of this court
17
    entered defendant's default under Rule 55(a). By its default,
18
    defendant is deemed to have admitted the well-pleaded
```

averments of the complaint except those as to the amount of damages. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(d). Plaintiffs' pleadings are

sufficient to demonstrate defendant's violations of the

22 Copyright Act. <u>See</u> 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 102(a)(7), 501(a) & (b);

23 <u>see also Sony Music Entm't, Inc. v. Elias</u>, 2004 WL 141959, at

*1, *3 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2004)

21

24

25

26

27

28

A court may not enter a default judgment against an unrepresented minor, an incompetent person, or a person in military service. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2); 50 App.

U.S.C. § 521. Plaintiffs' counsel has declared under penalty

2.4

of perjury that searches of various databases, including one maintained by the Department of Defense, have disclosed that defendant is not an infant, incompetent person, or a person in military service. Decl. Of Thomas Kerr in Further Supp. of Mot. for Def. J. ("Kerr Decl.") ¶¶ 15, 16.

Pursuant to Rule 55(b)(2), the court may enter a default judgment against a party against whom default has been entered. The decision to grant or deny a default judgment under Rule 55(b) is within the discretion of the court. <u>Fitel v. McCool</u>, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986). Although a formal hearing is not required for a court to render a default judgment, <u>Davis v. Fendler</u>, 650 F.2d 1154 (9th Cir. 1981), the plaintiff has the burden of proving damages through testimony or written affidavit. If damages are ascertainable, however, there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. <u>See Elias</u>, 2004 WL 141959, at *4 (citing <u>Ortiz-Gonzalez v. Fonovisa</u>, 277 F.3d 59, 63-64 (1st Cir. 2002)).

Section 504(a) of the Copyright Act provides that a copyright infringer may be liable for statutory damages under section 504(c). Section 504(c) allows a victim under the statute to elect to recover statutory damages for each violation "in a sum of not less than \$750 or no more than \$30,000 as the court considers just."

By virtue of her default, defendant has admitted to twenty-one violations of the Copyright Act. Because plaintiffs seek only the minimum statutory damages available under the statute, the request is ascertainable and reasonable. See id. I recommend that the court award

plaintiffs \$750.00 for each of the twenty-one violations, totaling \$15,750.00.

In addition, section 505 of the Copyright Act allows the court, in its discretion, to award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiffs' counsel has declared under penalty of perjury that, in pursuing this claim, plaintiffs incurred a \$250.00 filing fee and a \$70.00 service of process fee, for a total of \$320.00. See Kerr Decl. ¶ 17. The request, supported by counsel's declaration, is reasonable. See Elias, 2004 WL 141959, at *5 (citing Discovery Comm., Inc. v. Animal Plant, Inc., 172 F. Supp. 2d 1282, 1292 (C.D. Cal. 2001)). I recommend the court award plaintiffs \$320 in litigation costs.

Finally, section 502 of the Copyright Act grants to the court the authority to issue injunctive relief to "prevent or restrain infringement of a copyright." 17 U.S.C. § 502(a). "'Generally, a showing of copyright infringement liability and the threat of future violations is sufficient to warrant a permanent injunction.'" <u>Jackson v. Sturkie</u>, 255 F. Supp. 2d 1096, 1103 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (quoting Sega Enterprises, Ltd. v. MAPHIA, 948 F.Supp. 923, 940 (N.D. Cal. 1996)). As explained, defendant's admissions demonstrate twenty-one separate violations of the Copyright Act. Moreover, there can be no assurance that defendant will not continue to infringe plaintiffs' materials, be they now in existence or later created. See Elias, 2004 WL 141959, at *4-*5 (granting injunctive relief with respect to plaintiffs' existing materials and those created in the future). I therefore recommend that the court grant plaintiffs' request for

Case 4:06-cv-02047-SBA Document 20 Filed 11/07/06 Page 5 of 5

injunctive relief.

The evidence before me is sufficient to negate the need for an evidentiary hearing. For the foregoing reasons, I recommend that judgment be entered in plaintiffs' favor against defendant for a total award of \$16,070.00. This amount includes \$15,750.00 in statutory damages and \$320.00 in litigation costs. In addition, I recommend that the court grant plaintiffs the injunctive relief sought in their complaint.

Dated: November 7, 2006

G:\BZALL\-REFS\ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT\DEF.JUDG.ORDER.wpd

United States Magistrate Judge